This is a PDF export of the talk slides.

You can find the original slides, incl. videos, here: <u>https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/16ZQMB</u> <u>70Vc1fkPRG1K7rQIWCd5zYWTj1hTaeI2rAjZSo/edi</u> <u>t?usp=sharing</u> Learning Robotics Fundamentals with ROS 2 and modern Gazebo

Andreas Bihlmaier



Exploring robotics fundamentals - interactively

Hands-On Learning: Engage in practical, hands-on experience with robotics fundamentals through interactive simulation tools.

Real-Time Visualization: Gain a deeper understanding of concepts by visualizing robot movements, sensor data, and algorithms in real-time through interactive plotting.

Risk-Free Experimentation: Mitigate risks associated with hardware experimentation by utilizing simulation environments, allowing learners to experiment freely without fear of damaging physical components.

Scenario Exploration: Simulate diverse scenarios and challenges, providing learners with a broad range of experiences that may not be easily achievable in a physical setting.

Familiarity with Tools: Building familiarity with ROS 2 and Gazebo during the learning phase enables individuals to efficiently contribute to ongoing projects or start new ones.

The tools

Leveraging the ROS 2 and Gazebo ecosystem

ROS 2 22

- <u>rclpy</u> Python client library
- <u>ros2</u> command line tools
- <u>rosbag2</u> recording & playback
- <u>PlotJuggler</u> advanced plotting



- Not used in this talk
 - <u>RViz</u> 3D visualization
 - <u>Turtlesim</u> simple simulation





Connectors:

• <u>URDF</u> and <u>SDF</u>

• <u>ros gz</u>

<u>gz ros2 control</u>



Gazebo (modern)



- <u>gz-physics</u> physics engines (<u>Bullet</u>, <u>DART</u>, <u>TPE</u>)
- gz-rendering rendering (OGRE)
- <u>gz-sensors</u> sensor simulation
- <u>gz-gui</u> GUI
- Many <u>existing systems</u> and <u>example</u> <u>worlds</u>
- <u>Custom systems</u> (plugins)
- <u>Fuel</u> simulation models







Learning about math

Exploring math concepts in a robotics context

- The derivative of a function describes the "instantaneous rate of change" of the function.
- The time derivative of position is velocity
 p' = v
- The derivative of velocity is acceleration
 - p" = v' = a
- Integrals can be seen as antiderivative.
- The time integral of acceleration is velocity
 - o ∫a = v
- The integral of velocity is position
 - o ∬a = ∫v = p
- In code, derivatives and integrals are usually calculated numerically.



A more fun alternative to Python + matplotlib

There is nothing wrong with getting a better understanding of basic calculus using Python, e.g. numpy + matplotlib (in Jupyter notebooks).

One can get a sense for a constant acceleration resulting in linear velocity change that in turn results in quadratic position change.

But it is much more interesting and interactive using Gazebo and PlotJuggler.



gz: Velocity v to Position x | PJ: x to v to a

Gazebo (gz) world with a simple mobile robot with VelocityControl system and PosePublisher system.

ros_gz_bridge publishes Twist from ROS to
Gazebo and Pose from Gazebo to ROS TFMessage.

ros2 topic pub sends Twist command.



PlotJuggler (PJ) subscribed to ROS TFMessage topic's translation.x with custom series for first derivative v and filter for second derivative a.



M1: A gentle twist 1/2



Unless lower level controllers can properly handle discontinuities in commanded twist, commands should be **acceleration limited** (even better also jerk limited).

The noise in velocity and acceleration is due to (double) numerical differentiation.





Gazebo

M1: A gentle twist 2/2

def timer_callback(self):

if self.state == self.State.ACCELERATE:

twist.linear.x = min(self.linear_velocity, self.prev_twist.linear.x + self.linear_acceleration * self.timer_period)

elif self.state == self.State.DECELERATE:

twist.linear.x = max(0.0, self.prev_twist.linear.x - self.linear_acceleration * self.timer_period)



Learning about physics

P1: Watch the ball drop in free fall

Paused "empty" world in Gazebo with a sphere at z = 100 m.

PosePublisher system and ros_gz_bridge as before.

ros2 bag record the topic.

Open bag file in PlotJuggler, configure plot(s) and explore data with the time tracker.



P2: Inertia and the inclined plane

The 3D inertia tensor for a solid sphere of radius r and mass m is



The inertia for a solid cylinder of radius r, height h and mass m is



via List of moments of inertia

What happens when both roll down an inclined plane?



Aside: The unit matrix is **not** a good default value for robot links.

Gazebo	PlotJuggler						
≡ Gazebo	1	App Tools Help File -					
E Gazebo	Model Model Entity 4 Name ground_plane Model Canonical Link Pose Wind Mode Source File Pathebo/resource/slippery_slope.sdf Model Sdf Parent Entity Static Self Collide Entity Tree ground_plane sphere scipinder signinger sun	App Tools Help File Date: Topot: Topot: Topot: Topot: Topot: Topot: Topo: To	1 1 + 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - - 0.5 - -				
• •		Custom Seriec: +	05-	1700485680	1700465681	1700465682	1700455683
C 0.00%		·					speed: 10 - Step size: 0.000

Learning about robot physics

R1: The grandfather pendulum

Aside: Inertia parameters in standard model are completely off. I'm sure there are reasons for the default - but they are not simulation realism.

Modified double_pendulum_with_base with ApplyJointForce, JointStatePublisher and PosePublisher systems.

Here the lower_joint has been made a fixed joint. Single pendulum here.

The joints have no friction or damping. Perpetuum mobile!

PlotJugger visualizing the periodic joint position and XY plotting the lower_link.





R2: Friction and damping

<friction>: Static friction

Independent of joint velocity.

<damping>: Viscous damping

Relative to joint velocity.



R3: Inertia dependent on joint positions $\rightarrow M(q)_{1/2}$

A heavily damped version of the double pendulum with two revolute joints. upper_joint as before, lower_joint controlled via JointPositionController system. Lower joint at position q₂ = 0.



Starting from bottom position, applying a torque of 50 Nm to the upper joint.

Settles at an upper joint position of 0.566 rad.



R3: Inertia dependent on joint positions $\rightarrow M(q)_{2/2}$

Lower joint at position $q_2 = \pi$.

Settles at an upper joint position of 0.9 rad.



Lower joint at position $q_2 = \pi/2$.

Settles at an upper joint position of 0.49 rad.

In summary, seen from the upper joint, the robot inertia depends on other joint positions and the relationship is non-linear:

 $\begin{array}{l} q_2 = 0 \quad \rightarrow \alpha = 0.566 \\ q_2 = \pi/2 \rightarrow \alpha = 0.49 \\ q_2 = \pi \quad \rightarrow \alpha = 0.9 \end{array}$





Learning about control

Closing the loop on control

Thus far we have sent commands to Gazebo to cause actions and observed the results.

Now we make use of data about the effects to influence our actions.

Instead of combining already seen Gazebo systems, we use ros2_control, more specifically gz_ros2_control.

Note: As a consequence, the following example requires much more infrastructure.



Closed-loop control

C1: Robots or stable pendulums

The ur_simulation_ignition package uses the ign_ros2_control/IgnitionSystem (aka gz_ros2_control::GazeboSimROS2ControlPlugin) for joint control.

It acts as ros2_control HW interface. Commanding positions, velocities or efforts and providing actual values back to the (closed-loop) controller.





CC-BY: Denis Stogl, Bence Magyar (ros2_control)



There is much more to explore at the intersection of robotics fundamentals, ROS 2 and Gazebo ... enjoy exploring it!

Thanks!



https://github.com/ andreasBihlmaier/ robotics fundamentals ros gazebo

